

Thomson rings in a disk

M. Cerkaski¹, R.G. Nazmitdinov^{2,3}, and A. Puente²

¹*Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, Department of Theory of Structure of Matter, 31-342 Cracow, Poland*

²*Departament de Física, Universitat de les Illes Balears, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain*

³*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia*

(Dated: March 21, 2014)

We discuss basic principles of a self-organization of a finite number of charged particles interacting via the $1/r$ Coulomb potential in a disk geometry. The analysis is based on the cyclic symmetry and periodicity of the Coulomb interaction between particles located on several rings. As a result, a system of equations is derived, which defines shell structure exhibited by charged particles. The obtained results demonstrate a remarkable agreement with those provided by molecular dynamics calculations up to a number of charged particles $n \leq 105$.

PACS numbers: 82.70.Dd, 02.20.Rt, 64.75.Yz, 36.40.Wa

The distribution of charged particles on two-dimensional curved surface, considered first by Thomson [1], attracts a continuous attention in the last decade [2]. This problem provides useful insights into physics of quantum dots and Bose-Einstein condensates [3]; topological defects [4]; and colloidal systems, where colloidal particles self-assemble at the interface of two distinct liquids such as particle-stabilized [5] or charged-stabilized emulsions [6, 7].

Considering the electron distribution in a circular harmonic oscillator classically, Thomson found that interacting electrons are self-assembled in a family of rings (shells) with a specific number of electrons due to equilibrium conditions. Thirty years later Wigner [8] predicted the formation of an electron lattice in infinitely 3D extended system at low density. Both problems have common roots related to the dominance of the Coulomb interaction over the kinetic energy. Evidently, they are different, however, with respect to the role played by number of particles, boundary conditions and symmetry. For an infinitely large box the discrete translation symmetry is responsible for the ordered structure in the Wigner crystal. In a circular trap, with a finite number of electrons, the cyclic symmetry gives rise to the formation of shells. For finite systems the role of confinement and its underlying symmetries are crucial for the formation of equilibrium configurations [9].

Thanks to modern technology many ideas and concepts developed early can be analysed with high accuracy. Recent experimental studies of the addition electron energies of small number of electrons in a trap over a liquid-helium film [10] confirm the results obtained by means of classical Monte-Carlo calculations for the harmonic oscillator trap [11–13]. The results demonstrate that n point charges located on a ring create equidistant nodes as predicted by Thomson [1]. Although a similar pattern is obtained for a hard wall potential [14], the distribution of particles is very different from the one found for the harmonic oscillator confinement. Such a deviation, noticed already a few decades ago [15], is not fully understood. In contrast to the harmonic oscillator case, a consistent analysis of the shell pattern obtained by sim-

ulation techniques in the disk geometry is lacking (for a review see [16]). In this paper we present a model which enables one to describe with high accuracy the ground state distribution of charged particles in a disk as a function of particle number. Our approach could shed light on the nature of self-organization of colloidal particles in organic solvents, charged nanoparticles absorbed at oil-water interfaces, and electrons trapped on the surface of liquid helium.

To address the problem, we consider particles (electrons) which are free to settle inside the region defined by the following potential

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r \leq R \\ \infty, & \text{if } r > R, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. The particles interact with each other by means of the Coulomb interaction. In order to compare analytical results with numerics we perform molecular dynamics (MD) calculations similar to the one discussed in [16].

The MD results indicate that for $n \leq 11$ the equilibrium configuration is defined by all particles equally distributed on the circle with radius R . In this case the minimal energy of the system is

$$E_n(R) = \frac{\alpha}{2R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\pi}{n}(|i-j|)} = \frac{\alpha n S_n}{4R}, \quad (2)$$

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\pi}{n} k}. \quad (3)$$

Here, $\alpha = e^2/4\pi\epsilon_r$. Below, for the sake of discussion, we use $\alpha = 1$. We recall, that with the harmonic oscillator confinement, already for $n = 6$ one obtains one particle at the center (5 + 1)[1, 11–13].

Let us suppose that the system is stable with n particles located at the circle boundary. If we add a particle then: either i) it places at the boundary with a total energy E_{n+1} ; or ii) due to circular symmetry, it locates at the center, interacting with the external n charges, and the total energy is $E_n(R) + n/R$. The critical number

of charged particles for this transition is defined by the condition $(E_n(R) + n/R) - E_{n+1}(R) \leq 0$, which yields the following equation

$$(n+1)S_{n+1} \geq nS_n + 4n. \quad (4)$$

The resolution of this equations provides the critical number $n = 11$. In other words, eleven charged particles lie on the circle boundary, while for twelve charged particles there are eleven charged particles at the boundary, and one is located at the center.

For $n \geq 12$ the MD calculations show the formation of several internal rings. In particular, for $n \leq 29$ the number of electrons grows in two rings until two complete shells $(23+6)$ are formed. Evidently, the interaction between electrons from different rings should be included now. To obtain further insight into the formation of the equilibrium configuration, we consider the Coulomb interaction between two rings with radii r_1 , r_2 , and n and m electrons, respectively, uniformly distributed on each ring. Thus, we have

$$E_{nm}(r_1, r_2, \psi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \epsilon(r_1, r_2, \psi_{ij}^{nm} + \psi), \quad (5)$$

$$\epsilon(r_1, r_2, \theta) = (r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1 r_2 \cos \theta)^{-1/2}, \quad (6)$$

where $\psi_{ij}^{nm} = 2\pi(i/n - j/m)$ and ψ is the relative angular offset between the two rings.

It can be shown that the set of $n \times m$ angles ψ_{ij}^{nm} is equivalent, in the interval $[0, 2\pi]$, to the G -fold set $\{\psi_k = 2\pi/L \times k, k = 1, \dots, L\}$. Here $L \equiv \text{LCM}(n, m)$ and $G \equiv \text{GCD}(n, m) = n \times m/L$ are the least common multiple and greatest common divisor of the of numbers (n, m) , respectively. As a result Eq.(5) can be recast as follows

$$E_{nm}(r_1, r_2, \psi) = G \sum_{k=1}^L \epsilon(r_1, r_2, \psi_k + \psi), \quad (7)$$

which can be generalised to any 2π periodic function $\epsilon(r_1, r_2, \theta)$. In turn, this result shows that these kind of functions are invariant under angle transformations corresponding to the cyclic group of L elements, implying a $\Delta_{nm} = 2\pi/L$ periodicity

$$E_{nm}(r_1, r_2, \psi + \Delta_{nm}) = E_{nm}(r_1, r_2, \psi). \quad (8)$$

In virtue of the fact that the ring-ring interaction is an even periodic function in the angle ψ , it can be presented by means of a Fourier series of cosines

$$E_{nm}(r_1, r_2, \psi) = \langle E_{nm} \rangle + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} C_{\ell nm}(r_1, r_2) \cos(\ell L \psi). \quad (9)$$

The average value can be obtained in terms of the complete elliptic integral of first kind [17]. Indeed, with the aid of Eq.(7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E_{nm} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\Delta_{nm}} \int_0^{\Delta_{nm}} d\psi E_{nm}(r_1, r_2, \psi) \\ &= \frac{G}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^L \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi \epsilon(r_1, r_2, \psi_k + \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

All terms in the sum Eq.(10) give the same contribution, and we obtain

$$\langle E_{nm} \rangle = \frac{2nm}{\pi r_{>}(1+t)} K(4t/(1+t)^2) = 2nm \frac{K(t^2)}{\pi r_{>}}. \quad (11)$$

Here, we introduced notations: $r_{>} = \max(r_1, r_2)$, $r_{<} = \min(r_1, r_2)$, $t = r_{<}/r_{>}$; and use the symmetry property $K(4t/(1+t)^2) = (1+t)K(t^2)$. It is noteworthy that the average value $\langle E_{nm} \rangle$ is exactly the interaction energy between homogeneously distributed n and m charges over first and second rings, respectively.

In a similar way, the Fourier coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\ell nm}(r_1, r_2) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi \cos(\ell L \psi) E_{nm}(r_1, r_2, \psi) \\ &= \frac{nm}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi \frac{\cos(\ell L \psi)}{[r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1 r_2 \cos \psi]^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

By means of series expansion, it can be shown that

$$C_{\ell nm}(t) \approx \frac{nm}{r_{>}} d_{\ell L} t^{\ell L} + O(t^{\ell L+2}), \quad (13)$$

where $d_M = 2(2M-1)!!/(M!2^M)$ is a slowly decreasing coefficient. Evidently, at large L the contribution brought about by the fluctuations related to ψ variable in the series (9) are very small, even for the first harmonic $\ell = 1$. For illustration, we consider the fluctuating part of the ring-ring energy

$$\Delta E_{nm}(R, r) = \langle E_{nm} \rangle - E_{nm}(R, r, \psi = \pi/L) \quad (14)$$

for $m = 6$ in the internal ring with a radius r and vary the electron number $20 \leq n \leq 25$ in the external ring with a radius R .




FIG. 1: The fluctuation of ring-ring energy, Eq.(14), as a function of the ratio $x = r/R$ for $m = 6$ in the internal ring and $20 \leq n \leq 25$ in the external ring.

From the numerical analysis (see Fig.1) it follows that

$$\Delta E_{nm}(R, r) \sim c_L (r/R)^L, \quad (15)$$

which is fully consistent with the estimation (13). It is evident that fluctuations hardly play a role in the ring-ring interaction at large L , for instance, when $n=23$ ($L=138$), or $n=25$ ($L=150$). Even for the worst case $n=24$ ($L=24$), it amounts to a small fraction of $\langle E_{nm} \rangle$ for ratios $r/R < 0.8$.

The results for two interacting rings provide a guide to tackle the issue of the total energy. The total energy of n charged particles in a disk of radius R is

$$E_{\text{tot}}(n, \mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \sum_{i=1}^p E_{n_i}(r_i) + \sum_{i < j}^p E_{n_i n_j}(r_i, r_j, \varphi_i - \varphi_j). \quad (16)$$

Here, $\mathbf{n}=(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ is a partition of the total number n on p rings with radiuses $\mathbf{r}=(r_1, \dots, r_p)$ and offset angles $\boldsymbol{\varphi}=(\varphi_1=0, \dots, \varphi_p)$. We assume $R=r_1=1 > r_2 > \dots > r_p$. The results for two rings suggests to define the total energy as $E_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = E_{\text{avg}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{r}) + \delta E(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$ with

$$E_{\text{avg}}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i \frac{S_{n_i}}{4r_i} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{i < j}^p n_i n_j \frac{K((r_j/r_i)^2)}{r_i}, \quad (17)$$

and neglect the dependence on the relative angles $\psi = \varphi_i - \varphi_j$, i.e., the term $\delta E(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$.

The equilibrium configuration of particles can be obtained by minimizing Eq.(17) with respect to $(p, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{r})$, i.e., finding the partition corresponding to the lowest total energy. For a given partition, the set of equations that determines the optimal radiuses ($r_i, i=2, \dots, p$) is

$$r_i^2 \sum_{j=i+1}^p \frac{n_j E((r_j/r_i)^2)}{r_j^2 - r_i^2} + r_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j \left(\frac{r_j E((r_i/r_j)^2)}{r_j^2 - r_i^2} - \frac{K((r_i/r_j)^2)}{r_j} \right) = \frac{\pi}{8} S_{n_i}. \quad (18)$$

Here, E is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind.

Numerical analysis of the system (17),(18), shows that, once one electron appears at the center, it gives rise to a new internal ring (shell) which is progressively filling with electrons. The list of lowest configurations and energies with filled shells, obtained for $n=11, 29, 55, 90, 135$, reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} (11) \\ 48.5757 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} (23, 6) \\ 444.548 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} (37, 13, 5) \\ 1792.01 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} (53, 20, 12, 5) \\ 5115.56 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} (70, 29, 19, 12, 5) \\ 11995.4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The largest number of electrons lies on the circle boundary and decreases with sequential access to inner shells $2, 3, \dots$. The numerical solution of the system (17),(18), provides a remarkable agreement with the MD calculations for equilibrium configurations up to $n=105$, excluding a few cases (see Table I).

The difference $\delta = E_{\text{MD}} - E_{\text{avg}}$ provides the error of our approximation. The rings are counted starting from

TABLE I: Values for the only seven cases where optimal configurations, obtained with the aid of Eq. (18), disagree with the MD results. The MD results can be found also in [16].

n	$E_{\text{avg}}(n)$	δ	configuration
38	805.021	-0.101404	$(28, 9, 1)_2^3$
61	2237.25	-0.056784	$(39, 14, 7, 1)_3^1$
76	3575.38	-0.176466	$(46, 17, 10, 3)_3^1$
79	3881.59	-0.164677	$(48, 17, 10, 4)_4^2$
88	4878.17	-0.109206	$(51, 20, 12, 5)_3^1$
90	5115.56	-0.155515	$(53, 20, 12, 5)_1^5$
97	5991.62	-0.148982	$(55, 21, 13, 7, 1)_4^2$

the external one which is the first ring. The notation $(28, 9, 1)_2^3$ means that we have to add one particle in the third ring and remove one particle from the second ring in order to obtain the MD result. Although the total energy errors are very small, the assumptions of our model fail to predict the correct configurations for total n shown in Table I. The reason for this is twofold. First, as discussed above, the fluctuating part (see Eqs.(9),(12)) diminishes when L is large, while at small L its contribution may affect the prediction of the optimal configuration. Second, some MD configurations slightly break circular symmetry, which is not considered in the present approach.

Starting from $n=106$, the predictions based on the energy E_{avg} and MD results demonstrate a systematic $\Delta n \approx |2|$ disagreement in the partition of charged particles between available rings. In particular, the number of particles, which corresponds to the opening of a new shell (starting from one particle in the centre), can be calculated up to $n=90$ with the aid of the formula (see also [18])

$$n = (2m+3)(2m+4), \quad m=1, 2, 3 \quad (19)$$

It gives $n=132$ at $m=4$, while the MD results provide the opening of the sixth shell at $n=134$. Our calculations predict this opening at $n=136$. In fact, the increase of the total number of particles gives rise to the onset of a triangular lattice at the centre of the structure [14]. Our focus is, however, the self-organization of a relatively small number of charge particles $n \leq 100$. The crossover from one type of self-organization to the other requires a separate study and is beyond the scope of this paper.

In conclusion, we derived a system of equations which enables one to analyse the equilibrium formation and filling of rings with a finite number of particles interacting by means of Coulomb forces in the disk geometry. The proposed approach is based on the cyclic symmetry and periodicity of the Coulomb energy between particles located on two different rings. As a result, the problem of n interacting charged particles was reduced to the equivalent description of $p(\ll n)$ rings, with homogeneously distributed integer charges. This picture is good enough to obtain exact ground state configurations with

correct energies, excluding a few particular cases, up to $n \leq 105$. Such type of distribution in finite systems, when the cyclic symmetry approaches the rotational symmetry in a restricted geometry, should be considered as a characteristic self-organization, different geometrically from the Wigner crystal type.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jeferson Arenzon for useful remarks. M.C. is grateful for the warm hospitality at JINR. This work

was supported in part by Bogoliubov-Infeld program of BLTP and RFBR grant 14-02-00723.

-
- [1] J. J. Thomson, *Phil. Mag.* **7**, 237 (1904).
 - [2] M.J. Bowick and L. Giomi, *Adv. Phys.* **58**, 449 (2009).
 - [3] H. Saarikoski, S.M. Reimann, A. Harju, and M. Manninen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 2785 (2010).
 - [4] Z. Yao and M.O. de la Cruz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 115503 (2013).
 - [5] B. P. Binks and T.S. Horozov, *Colloidal Particles at Liquid Interfaces* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2006).
 - [6] M.E. Leunissen, A. van Blaaderen, A.D. Hollingsworth, M.T. Sullivan, and P.M. Chaikin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 2585 (2007).
 - [7] M.E. Leunissen, J. Zwanikken, R. van Roij, P.M. Chaikin, and A. van Blaaderen, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **9**, 6405 (2007).
 - [8] E.P. Wigner, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 1002 (1934).
 - [9] J.L. Birman, R.G. Nazmitdinov, and V.I. Yukalov, *Phys. Rep.* **526**, 1 (2013).
 - [10] E. Rousseau, D. Ponarin, L. Hristakos, O. Avenel, E. Varoquaux, and Y. Mukharsky, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 045406 (2009).
 - [11] V. Bedanov and F.M. Peeters, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 2667 (1994).
 - [12] Yu.E. Lozovik and V.A. Mandelshtam, *Phys. Lett. A* **165**, 469 (1992).
 - [13] F. Bolton and U. Rössler, *Superlatt. Microstruct.* **13**, 139 (1992).
 - [14] M. Kong, B. Partoens, A. Matulis, and F.M. Peeters, *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 036412 (2004).
 - [15] A. A. Berezin, *Nature* **315**, 104 (1985).
 - [16] A. Worley, arXiv: physics/060923 (2006).
 - [17] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables Tenth Printing* (National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, U.S. Government Printing Office, W, 1972) p.590. $K(m) = \int_0^{\pi/2} [1 - m \sin^2 \theta]^{-1/2} d\theta$.
 - [18] S. Erkoc and H. Oymak, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 3075 (2000); *Phys. Lett. A* **290**, 28 (2001).